

Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur
(Autonomous)
**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM
STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM
Academic Year 2015-2016**



The analysis of responses received from the student respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: **321**

Overall the curriculum is **excellent** with average grade **3.53 out of 4.00**.

Parameters	4	3	2	1	Total	Grade
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	240	59	20	2	1179	3.67
2. Weightage given to Employability	160	130	27	4	1088	3.39
3. Weightage given to entrepreneurship	157	115	41	8	1063	3.31
4. Weightage given to Skill development	192	100	24	5	1121	3.49
5. Weightage given to project	217	70	29	5	1141	3.55
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	204	86	28	3	1133	3.53
7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	166	120	30	5	1089	3.39
8. Difficulty level of course content	205	89	21	6	1135	3.54
9. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	211	73	30	7	1130	3.52
10. Level of course outcomes (expected)	203	86	26	6	1128	3.51
11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	217	74	23	7	1143	3.56
12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	206	85	20	10	1129	3.52
13. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	212	75	29	5	1136	3.54
14. Overall rating	218	68	23	12	1134	3.53


Secretary
 Academic Council


Chairman, Academic Council

Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur
(Autonomous)
**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM
TEACHERS OF OTHER INSTITUTIONS ON CURRICULUM**
Academic Year 2015-2016




The analysis of responses received from the teachers of other institutes respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: **171**

Overall the curriculum is **excellent** with average grade **3.61 out of 4.00**.

Parameters	4	3	2	1	Total	Grade
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	126	33	9	3	624	3.65
2. Weightage given to Employability	96	62	12	1	595	3.48
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	89	68	13	1	587	3.43
4. Weightage given to Skill development	117	43	7	4	615	3.60
5. Weightage given to Project	111	43	12	5	602	3.52
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	117	44	8	2	618	3.61
7. Depth of the course content	121	38	8	4	618	3.61
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	118	44	6	3	619	3.62
9. Difficulty level of course content	111	54	5	1	617	3.61
10. Optimization of course content	111	43	15	2	605	3.54
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	112	48	8	3	611	3.57
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	124	42	4	1	631	3.69
13. Relevance of Course outcomes	128	36	6	1	633	3.70
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	105	57	5	4	605	3.54
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	113	45	9	4	609	3.56
16. Overall rating	117	43	9	2	617	3.61


 Secretary
 Academic Council


 Chairman, Academic Council

Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur
(Autonomous)
**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM
EMPLOYER ON CURRICULUM
Academic Year 2015-2016**



The analysis of responses received from the employer's respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: **137**

Overall the curriculum is **excellent** with average grade **3.56 out of 4.00**.

Parameters	4	3	2	1	Total	Grade
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Innovativeness and creativity	89	38	8	2	488	3.56
2. Weightage given to Employability / entrepreneurship/Skill development	76	51	5	5	472	3.45
3. Weightage given to practical, field work component	82	43	5	7	474	3.46
4. Depth of the course content	91	37	8	1	492	3.59
5. Curriculum proved useful at workplace	89	37	8	3	486	3.55
6. Incorporation of component about development of ability to manage/leadership qualities	93	40	3	1	499	3.64
7. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	88	39	7	3	486	3.55
8. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	89	33	9	6	479	3.5
9. Usage of Curriculum for development of human resource at your industry/workplace	82	46	8	1	483	3.53
10. Overall rating	89	36	12	0	488	3.56


Secretary
 Academic Council


Chairman, Academic Council

**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM
ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM
Academic Year 2015-2016**




The analysis of responses received from the alumni respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: **220**

Overall the curriculum is **excellent** with average grade **3.57 out of 4.00**.

Parameters	4	3	2	1	Total	Grade
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	157	46	16	1	799	3.63
2. Weightage given to Employability	133	71	12	4	773	3.51
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	115	77	23	5	742	3.37
4. Weightage given to Skill development	144	55	17	4	779	3.54
5. Weightage given to project	140	65	13	2	783	3.56
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	139	54	22	5	767	3.49
7. Depth of the course content	133	73	11	3	776	3.53
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	129	68	19	4	762	3.46
9. Difficulty level of course content	135	63	16	6	767	3.49
10. Optimization of course content	151	58	10	1	799	3.63
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	140	68	10	2	786	3.57
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	146	55	13	6	781	3.55
13. Level of Course Outcomes	133	65	17	5	766	3.48
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	138	62	16	4	774	3.52
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	139	64	15	2	780	3.55
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	145	56	15	4	782	3.55
17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners	104	81	33	2	727	3.30
18. Overall rating	141	66	10	3	785	3.57


Secretary
 Academic Council


Chairman, Academic Council

Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur
(Autonomous)
**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM
PARENT ON CURRICULUM
Academic Year 2015-2016**



The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: **263**

Overall the curriculum is **excellent** with average grade **3.65 out of 4.00**.

Parameters	4	3	2	1	Total	Grade
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Suitable design of curriculum	180	61	17	5	942	3.58
2. Relevance of course content to the local needs	141	93	25	4	897	3.41
3. Promotion of learning experience of the students	172	71	16	4	937	3.56
4. Focus on Employability	127	111	25	0	891	3.39
5. Weightage given to practical and field work component	135	106	18	4	898	3.41
6. Inculcation of moral values	174	75	6	8	941	3.58
7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations	154	84	23	2	916	3.48
8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship /Skill development	134	101	22	6	889	3.38
9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject in the curriculum	155	97	10	1	932	3.54
10. Optimization of course content	169	78	13	3	939	3.57
11. Promotion of research attitude	138	93	27	5	890	3.38
12. Importance of learning values	184	60	14	5	949	3.61
13. Overall rating	182	71	9	1	960	3.65


Secretary
 Academic Council


Chairman, Academic Council